

NEW SOUTH WALES LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

HOUSE IN REVIEW



Volume 56/41

Sitting period
20 to 22 June 2017

The *House in Review* provides regular updates of the activities of the New South Wales Legislative Council. Clicking on a hyperlink will take you to the relevant webpage. For further information refer to the NSW Parliament website www.parliament.nsw.gov.au or contact the Procedure Office on (02) 9230 2431. To be placed on the email distribution list of the *House in Review*, please contact us on council@parliament.nsw.gov.au.

Overview

In the final sitting week of the Autumn sitting period the House considered eight government bills, which included the Appropriation bills. In addition, the House passed a private member's bill in relation to local government amalgamation referendums, which was the second private member's bill to be sent to the Legislative Assembly this year. On Wednesday the Legislative Council's newest member, the Hon Taylor Martin gave his first speech, while on Thursday the Hon Duncan Gay, who has served in the House since 19 March 1988, gave his valedictory speech. With the establishment of two new committee inquiries this week, and the budget estimates inquiries commencing in late August, the Council's committees will continue to stay active with their important work during the winter recess.

The House now stands adjourned until Tuesday 8 August 2017.

NSW Budget 2017-2018

On Tuesday 20 June 2017, the Treasurer tabled the 2017-2018 Budget papers in the Legislative Assembly. Later that day, Mr Harwin tabled the Budget papers in the Legislative Council and moved a motion for a 'take note' debate, which will resume during the Spring sittings. The Appropriation Bill and cognates, the Appropriation (Parliament) Bill, the State Revenue and Other Legislation Amendment (Budget Measures) Bill and the Emergency Services Levy Bill 2017 were received and passed by the Council on Thursday 22 June. One aspect of the State Revenue bill was to split Landcom, known as UrbanGrowth New South Wales, into two entities. In committee of the whole both the Government and the Opposition moved an amendment to this bill, both in relation to Landcom transferred employees upon the splitting of the organisation. The Opposition amendment sought to ensure that Landcom employees could only be transferred to two other development corporations. This was negatived on division (16:18). The Government amendment, which was agreed to, extended a two year employment guarantee to all employees apart from senior officers.

Budget Estimates 2017–2018

On Wednesday 21 June 2017, the House agreed, without amendment, to the Government's motion to refer the Budget Estimates and related papers for 2017-2018 to the six Portfolio Committees for inquiry and report. The motion included a hearing schedule, different to recent years, with the hearings running from Thursday 31 August through to Friday 8 September. In comparison to the last few years, the hearings will be distributed over seven days instead of five and with less hearings running concurrently. The timetable is available on the [Budget Estimates website](#).

Valedictory speech – Hon Duncan Gay

After serving the House and the people of New South Wales since March 1988, the Hon Duncan Gay gave his valedictory speech. With his wife Katie and family present in the President's Gallery, and with many distinguished former members, a former Clerk of the Parliaments and Ministers and members of the Legislative Assembly in the public gallery, Mr Gay reflected on his parliamentary career.

10th Anniversary of the Twinning program

On Wednesday 21 June, the House noted the 10th anniversary of the Commonwealth Parliamentary Association's twinning program, and the Parliament of New South Wales' relationship with the National Parliament of the Solomon Islands and the House of Representatives of the Autonomous Region of Bougainville.

Government business

Note: Government business includes Government bills introduced or carried by ministers in the Council.

Terrorism Legislation Amendment (Police Powers and Parole) Bill 2017

House of origin: Legislative Assembly

The bill amends the *Terrorism (Police Powers) Act 2002* to enable the Commissioner of Police to declare an incident to be a terrorist act requiring planned and coordinated police action, thereby authorising the use of force, including lethal force that is reasonably necessary to defend members of the public from the terrorist act. A police officer does not incur any criminal liability for taking any police action as long as it is in line with the police action plan for dealing with the incident. The amendments seek to clarify the use of force as recommended by the coroner in his report on the Lindt Café siege.

The bill also amends the *Crimes (Administration of Sentences) Act 1999* to provide that terrorism related offenders are not released on parole unless the Parole Authority is satisfied that the offender will not engage in terrorist acts or violent extremism. The amendments seek to implement the decision of the Council of Australian Governments on 9 June 2017 for a presumption against the granting of parole or bail for persons who have demonstrated support for, or have links to, terrorist activity.

The bill was introduced and went through all stages in the Legislative Assembly in the morning of Wednesday 21 June. On being introduced in the Council later that day, the bill was declared urgent in order to allow it to pass through all stages prior to the winter recess. Urgency was agreed to (Division 34:5), with The Greens opposing the urgency declaration.

The second reading speech of the Parliamentary Secretary (Mr Clarke), argued that the bill responds to the key recommendations of the State Coroner's report on the Lindt Café siege, released on 24 May 2017, and that the Government had accepted all of the Coroner's recommendations and committed to immediately legislating to provide certainty regarding the use of lethal force by police officers during terrorist incidents. The Parliamentary Secretary's speech stated that by clarifying the powers available to police and by strengthening parole laws the bill protects communities across the State and ensures that New South Wales has the strongest counter terror laws in Australia.

The Opposition supported the bill, on the grounds that it reinforced existing legislation relating to the management of terrorist activity and incidents. The Opposition raised the issue of the need to protect the anonymity of police officers called before public inquiries into responses to terrorist incidents, and called on the Government to introduce appropriate protections in the future.

The Christian Democratic Party (CDP) supported the bill, which it saw as a necessary improvement to the current laws. The CDP did relay some concerns that had been raised with them by the Police Association regarding their uncertainty whether an officer acting on the authorisation of a supervisor would be

protected from any criminal liability if the assessment of the situation by that supervisor was subsequently found to be deficient.

The Greens strongly opposed the bill, saying that to support it would be to surrender to the politics of fear. The Greens argued that the bill was not required as existing laws provided adequate powers both for police to use lethal force when justified and proportionate and for parole to be withheld from those considered likely to engage in terrorist activities. The Greens believed that the provisions relating to parole would apply to too broad a category of offenders and would be counter-productive. The Greens were concerned that the protection of police officers from criminal liability was also too broad as it would extend to injury or death of anyone present at a declared incident.

In reply, the Parliamentary Secretary sought to address the concerns raised by the CDP and stated that the bill allows for commanders to authorise the use of lethal force when planned actions are needed and provides for the protections from liability to apply to all actions taken. The Parliamentary Secretary also noted that there were already current provisions for the making of suppression orders in order to protect the identity of individuals appearing before inquiries, and that such orders had been made with respect to some of the police officers who appeared during the recent coronial inquiry.

The second reading was agreed to on division (33:6), with The Greens and the Animal Justice Party opposing the motion.

In the committee stage the Greens sought to amend the bill by ensuring that the protection of police action from criminal liability applies only to actions that cause the death of or injury to a person who is committing or likely to commit the terrorist act. The amendment drew the support of the Animal Justice Party only and was defeated (Division 6:33).

The bill was reported without amendment, read a third time and returned to the Assembly.

[Transport Administration Amendment \(Closure of Railway Line Between Rosewood and Tumbarumba\) Bill 2017](#)

House of origin: Legislative Assembly

The bill authorises the closure of the railway line between Rosewood and Tumbarumba, the removal of the railway tracks and the sale or disposal of the land concerned.

Debate resumed from 23 May 2017 (see earlier House in Review for the details of the Minister's second reading speech and the initial comments from the Opposition). The Opposition reiterated its support for the rail trail concept and indicated that it believed that the proposed rail trail was a suitable location. However, the Opposition expressed concern that the bill allows for the loss of public ownership of the rail trail land. The Opposition foreshadowed they would move an amendment during the committee stage that would ensure that the land would be dedicated under Crown lands legislation so that its only possible use, other than if resumed for a rail line, would be as a rail trail.

The Greens indicated support for the rail trail concept and referred to its success in other Australian States and overseas. The Greens also held the same concern as the Opposition regarding the potential for the sale of rail trail land to private concerns. The Greens indicated they would not oppose the second reading of the bill, but that their support for the bill at the third reading would be dependent upon the foreshadowed Opposition amendment being agreed to. The Christian Democratic Party (CDP) also indicated support for the Opposition amendment. The CDP said its support for the rail trail concept was particularly strong when the trails ran parallel to existing road verges. The CDP acknowledged that difficult issues arise when proposed rail trails cut across private land, as it did in this case.

The Shooters, Fishers and Farmers Party (SFFP) opposed the bill, arguing that it raised too many issues and placed too great a burden on a number of private landowners whose properties were traversed by the

proposed trial. The SFFP said that while they would not vote against the second reading of the bill, they wanted to see the bill amended in the committee stage, and would oppose the third reading of the bill.

The second reading was agreed to. In the committee stage, the Greens, CDP and the SFFP all indicated their support for the Opposition amendment, which was agreed to on the voices.

As foreshadowed, the SFFP opposed the third reading which was nevertheless agreed to on division (37:2) and the bill was returned to the Assembly with the amendment.

Home Building Amendment (Compensation Reform) Bill 2017

House of origin: Legislative Assembly

The bill amends the *Home Building Act 1989* and other legislation with respect to insurance and alternative cover in relation to residential building work, licensing of insurers and alternative indemnity cover providers.

In his second reading speech, the Parliamentary Secretary (Mr Colless) stated that the bill introduces a modern home building compensation scheme to replace the current scheme which it was argued is a financially unsustainable government monopoly. Under the new scheme private sector providers will be allowed to offer home building compensation by way of insurance or alternative indemnity products while the government provider, NSW Self Insurance Corporation, will still be able to deliver insurance for builders. The State Insurance Regulatory Authority (SIRA) will be responsible for licensing insurers and other providers of cover, issuing insurance guidelines, approving premiums and setting builder eligibility standards. Licence holders will be required to contribute to funds to support SIRA's operations and protect against the risk of builder insolvency. Builders will be required to take out cover for both the construction period and the statutory warranty period. The total minimum cover available to homeowners will be increased, and more effective data gathering will be introduced.

The Opposition and The Greens did not oppose the bill. However, The Greens expressed concern that the proposal to offer split cover will result in private insurers covering the construction period, which is for a shorter term and thus more profitable, while the Self Insurance Corporation will end up covering the less profitable statutory warranty period, resulting in an undue burden on the taxpayer.

The CDP noted that concerns had been raised regarding the feasibility of regulating fidelity funds on an equal footing with insurers, the possible impact of the scheme on new and inexperienced builders, and the difficulties of defining risk. The CDP also noted that SIRA has responded to these concerns by advising that it has the power to require fidelity funds to meet conditions and prudential standards, will establish clear and transparent eligibility standards for builders and will engage in consultation concerning definition of risk.

In reply, the Parliamentary Secretary (Mr Colless) stated that SIRA will carefully monitor the operation of the new scheme and if necessary put in place measures to minimise the risk to taxpayers, that all indemnity products will be required to meet or exceed the minimum cover requirements and that SIRA will consult in relation to guidelines to support the scheme.

The second and third readings were agreed to and the bill was returned to the Assembly without amendment.

Crimes Amendment (Intimate Images) Bill 2017

House of origin: Legislative Assembly

The bill amends the *Crimes Act 1900* to create offences relating to the non-consensual recording and distribution of intimate images. The bill creates three new offences: recording an intimate image without consent, distributing an image without consent, and threatening to record or distribute an intimate image without consent. Exceptions apply in certain circumstances. The offences apply to children and young

people as well as adults, but do not apply to a young person who records and distributes an intimate image of their own body. The bill also introduces a new power for a court to order a person to remove or delete an unlawful intimate image.

After initial comments regarding the Law and Justice Committee's inquiry into remedies for the serious invasion of privacy in New South Wales, which was a catalyst for the development of the bill, the second reading speech of the Parliamentary Secretary (Mrs Taylor) was incorporated into Hansard. The speech outlined that the bill addresses the non-consensual sharing of intimate images, also known as revenge porn or image based abuse. Such conduct has been fostered by modern technological advances which vastly increase the extent to which an image may be distributed and viewed, and can have lasting consequences for the victim, their reputation, family, friends and employment.

The Opposition did not oppose the bill and indicated that the new offences were appropriately placed within the category of sexual offences. However, the Opposition contended that the proposed penalties for the new offences should be greater. The Opposition was particularly concerned that the take-down orders provided for in the bill only operate post-conviction and as such do not provide effective redress for a victim whose image has been posted without consent, and that the take-down orders are directed only at the defendant and not at any other body, organisation or person responsible for the platform on which the image has been posted. The Opposition proposed that these matters could be dealt with via a civil approach with the power to make take-down orders residing with the Office of the Privacy Commissioner.

The Greens supported the bill and expressed the hope that the new laws would make a difference, stating that the current laws, both criminal and civil, were failing people, most often women, when it came to image-based sexual exploitation. The Greens shared the concern regarding the timeframe for the execution of image take-down orders, and suggested that the bill should be seen as a first step in improving protections for people subject to such abuse.

The Christian Democratic Party supported the bill, and expressed concern at the increasing prevalence within society of the distribution of personal images either without or with consent.

In reply, the Parliamentary Secretary said that the Commonwealth was the best jurisdiction to deal with cross-jurisdictional issues associated with regulating internet service providers or content hosts, and that the Commonwealth Government was currently examining the issue and consulting on a proposed civil penalty regime with take-down powers.

The second and third readings of the bill were agreed to and the bill was returned to the Assembly.

Private members' business

Note: Private members' business is business introduced by members of the House other than Government ministers. There are two types of private members' business: private members' bills and private members' motions.

Bills

[Local Government Amendment \(Amalgamation Referendums\) Bill 2017](#) (Mr Borsak, Shooters, Fishers and Farmers Party)

The object of the bill is to amend the *Local Government Act 1993* to provide that plebiscites are to be held to determine whether the electors of the local government areas amalgamated during 2016 wish the amalgamations to be reversed, and that certain proposed amalgamations of local government areas are not to proceed unless approval has been provided by the electors at a referendum.

The bill provides that following a plebiscite relating to one of the 2016 amalgamations, if a majority of one of the former council areas vote for a reversal even though the overall majority of all of the former merged

council areas do not, the Minister is to use his or her best endeavours to give effect to the wishes of the former area that voted for the reversal.

Debate resumed from 1 June 2017, (see earlier *House in Review* for details of the debate on that day). The Greens supported the bill, stating that there was overwhelming community discontent with the recent council amalgamations, citing a number of polls that had revealed high levels of dissatisfaction with the amalgamations that had taken place. The Greens argued that the costs of many of the mergers had exceeded the funds provided by the Government and that ultimately the cost burden would fall upon ratepayers. The Greens foreshadowed that they would seek to expand the scope of the bill in the committee stage.

Members of the Government continued to voice their opposition to the bill, arguing that the amalgamation process was the product of extensive and genuine consultation and examination that had commenced in 2011. The Government contended that the amalgamations had been a success and that the local communities concerned were reaping the benefits of improved management and resourcing structures.

The second reading was agreed to, with the support of all parties apart from the Government (Division 21:18).

In the committee stage, The Greens, with the support of the Opposition and the other cross-bench parties, amended the bill to include a provision requiring a referendum of ratepayers to give effect to any future council amalgamation proposal (Division 20:18). The Opposition then moved an amendment to have the plebiscites provided for in the bill commence within one month, rather than three, of the commencement of the Act. Despite the opposition of the Government, the amendment was agreed to on the voices.

The Greens also sought, but failed, to amend the bill to provide detailed procedures for the de-amalgamation of those councils amalgamated in 2016.

The third reading of the bill, as amended, was agreed to (Division 21:18), with the Government again indicating its strong opposition to the bill.

The bill was forwarded to the Assembly for concurrence.

Motions

TAFE funding (Ms Voltz, Australian Labor Party)

The motion cites recent figures showing budget cuts to the recurrent and capital funding for TAFE and the reduction in enrolments and teaching and support staff positions at TAFE since 2012. The motion calls on the Government to reverse the cited budget cuts and to reverse the damage done to TAFE since 2012.

In speaking to the motion, Ms Voltz argued that the 2017-2018 Budget included a \$105 million cut from the TAFE recurrent budget and a \$30.4 million decrease in capital funding. Ms Voltz noted that there are now 63,000 fewer enrolments in TAFE and 5,689 less teachers and support staff than there were in 2012. Ms Voltz argued that the reductions in staff and funding were raising concerns about the safety and quality of the training able to be provided, and that the cuts showed that the Government had a complete disregard for vocational training and education. Ms Voltz argued that with the current building boom it made no sense to decimate the training base for those trades that support the construction industry. Members of the Opposition who spoke in support of the motion emphasised the important role TAFE has played for apprentices and people looking for second chance at education or a career change.

The Government opposed the motion, disputing the claims of funding cuts and enrolment decreases contained within it. The Government stated that, contrary to the substance of the motion, TAFE's operating budget had been maintained, while its forecast capital budget included significant increases and that student numbers had increased on the previous year. The Government said that it was committed to

upskilling the workforce and that to do this it was reforming the whole vocational education and training sector, of which TAFE is just one part. The Government noted that it was investing \$2.2 billion in skills development and training programs and that the One TAFE reforms would ensure that TAFE adapts to become modern, flexible and able to meet the needs of students, teaching staff and employees.

The Greens supported the motion, stating that TAFE is and must remain a central pillar in the State's public education system. The Greens argued that the Government's agenda was to privatise vocational education and that it was seeking to cut teaching staff and face-to-face learning across TAFE campuses.

The Christian Democratic Party (CDP) emphasised the importance of TAFE and the need to ensure that people in rural and regional areas have equitable access to vocational training. While acknowledging that the Government of the day will run TAFE as it sees fit, the CDP asserted that it would continue to hold the Government to account to ensure that TAFE continues to fulfil its role.

Debate was adjourned.

Motions taken as formal business

The following items of private members' business were agreed to as formal business without amendment or debate:

- (1) 2017 Chinese New Year celebration (Mr Clarke).
- (2) Sisters of Charity Outreach (Mrs Taylor).
- (3) 2017 Sydney Korean Festival (Mr Clarke).
- (4) 350th anniversary of the first Armenian Bible (Mr Clarke).
- (5) Commemoration of the Battle of Crete and the Greek Campaign (Mrs Maclaren-Jones).
- (6) Mr Anthony Foster (Mr Shoebridge).
- (7) Dustyesky (Mr Franklin).
- (8) 2017 Eid celebration (Mr Moselmane).
- (9) Act for Peace Ration Challenge (Mr Shoebridge).
- (10) Assyrian New Year 6767 Festival (Mr Clarke).
- (11) Bomber Command Commemorative Day service (Mr Donnelly).
- (12) 2017 National Day of Portugal reception (Mr Clarke).
- (13) NSW State Emergency Service Large Animal Rescue Seminar (Mr Clarke).
- (14) 2017 NSW Federation of Community Language Schools dinner (Mr Clarke).
- (15) 2017 NSW Police South West Metropolitan Region Medal and Awards Presentation Ceremony (Mr Clarke)
- (16) Hong Kong Philharmonic Orchestra concert performance (Mr Clarke).
- (17) Bosnian Muslim community Ramadan dinner (Mr Clarke).
- (18) Liquor licence applications and community impact statements (Mr Field).
- (19) Australian Seabird Rescue — Ballina (Dr Faruqi).
- (20) World FSHD Day (Mrs Maclaren-Jones).
- (21) Mermaid Pool restoration project (Dr Faruqi).
- (22) Bowel Cancer Awareness Month (Mrs Maclaren-Jones).

Orders for papers

Note: The Council has a common law power to order the Government to produce State papers.

Order made

- (1) **2017-2018 Budget (Mr Searle):** Due: 13 July 2017.
- (2) **2017-2018 Budget Finances (Mr Searle):** Due 13 July 2017.

Petitions received

Minister's response

From the Honourable Mark Speakman MP, Attorney General, to a petition presented by Mr Donnelly on 10 May 2017 concerning opposition to abortion law reform bills

Committee activities

Committee references

Portfolio Committee No. 5 – Industry and Transport: The Chair informed the House that on 22 June 2017, the committee resolved to inquire into the implementation of the recommendations of the inquiry into commercial fishing in New South Wales.

Portfolio Committee No. 4 – Legal Affairs: The Chair informed the House that on 22 June 2017, the committee resolved to inquire into the fire and emergency services levy in New South Wales.

Extension to reporting date

Procedure Committee: The House resolved to extend the reporting date of the Committee's inquiry into e-petitions until the last sitting day in 2017.

Committee membership

Portfolio Committee No. 1 – Premier and Finance: The Hon Taylor Martin MLC replaced the Hon Trevor Khan MLC.

Portfolio Committee No. 2 – Health and Community Services: Mr Scot MacDonald replaced the Hon Matthew Mason-Cox MLC.

Portfolio Committee No. 3 – Education: The Hon Taylor Martin MLC replaced the Hon Duncan Gay MLC.

Committee reports tabled

Legislation Review Committee: 'Legislation Review Digest No. 39/56', dated 20 June 2017.

Committee reports debated

General Purpose Standing Committee No. 5: The House concluded the take note debate on Report No. 45 entitled 'Commercial fishing in New South Wales', dated February 2017.

Standing Committee on Law and Justice: The House concluded the take note debate on Report No. 60 entitled "First review of the workers compensation scheme", dated March 2017.

General Purpose Standing Committee No. 2: The House continued the take note debate on Report No. 46 entitled "Child protection", dated March 2017.

Select Committee on Off-Protocol Prescribing of Chemotherapy in New South Wales: The House continued the take note debate on the report entitled "Off-Protocol Prescribing of Chemotherapy in New South Wales", dated May 2017.

Inquiry activities

Select Committee on Human Trafficking

The committee has received 28 submissions and held its fourth hearing on 15 June 2017. The next hearing will take place on 11 August 2017.

Standing Committee on Law and Justice

First review of the Dust Diseases and Lifetime Care and Support schemes

The committee has received 11 submissions for the review of the Lifetime Care and Support scheme and six submissions for the Dust Diseases scheme. The committee held its first hearing on 2 June 2017, with a second hearing to be held on 28 June 2017.

Standing Committee on State Development

Inquiry into regional development and a global Sydney

The committee has received 33 submissions and held its first hearing in Sydney on 19 June. Regional visits and hearings will take place in the coming months, including a hearing in Shoalhaven on 29 June.

Defence industry in New South Wales

The committee has received 23 submissions. A hearing and site visit will be held on 29-30 June in the Shoalhaven and a Sydney hearing is scheduled for 3 August followed by further regional visits and hearings in the coming months.

Portfolio Committee No. 2 – Health and Community Services

Inquiry into road tolling

The report is currently being drafted. The committee is due to report in August 2017.

Portfolio Committee No. 3 – Education

Inquiry into students with disability or special needs in New South Wales schools

The committee has received 415 submissions and has held five public hearings to date, including three regional hearings. The next hearing will take place at Parliament House on 23 June 2017.

Portfolio Committee No. 4 – Legal Affairs

Inquiry into museums and galleries

The committee held its seventh hearing on 6 June 2017. The report is currently being drafted and is due to be tabled on 25 August 2017.

Emergency services agencies

The closing date for submissions is 23 July 2017 and public hearings will commence in September 2017.

Portfolio Committee No. 5 – Industry and Transport

Inquiry into water augmentation for rural and regional New South Wales

The committee has received over 100 submissions and held a number of hearings in Sydney and in regional areas. The next hearing will take place in Lismore on 1 August 2017.

Portfolio Committee No. 6 – Planning and Environment

Inquiry into energy from waste technology

The committee is conducting hearings on 26 and 27 June, with more to follow in August 2017. The committee is due to report by the end of the year.

Reports tabled

Auditor General:

- (1) ‘Universities: 2016 Audits’, dated 6 June 2017.
- (2) ‘NorthConnex: Department of Premier and Cabinet, NSW Treasury, Infrastructure NSW, Roads and Maritime Services, Transport for NSW’, dated June 2017.
- (3) ‘Sydney region road maintenance contracts: Roads and Maritime Services’, dated June 2017.

Inspector of Independent Commission Against Corruption: ‘Investigation into the conduct of a Regional Illegal Dumping Squad officer and others’, dated June 2017.

Small Business Commission: Annual Report, year ended 31 December 2016.

Adjournment debate

Tuesday 20 June 2017

New South Wales Parliamentary Friends of Defence (Mr Pearce); Cybersecurity (Mr Wong); Tribute to the Reverend John Onions (Mr Green); Voluntary assisted dying (Mr Khan); Myall Massacre 179th anniversary (Mr Secord); World Congress of Families and Budapest Family Summit (Revd Mr Nile).

Wednesday 21 June 2017

State Budget and regional New South Wales (Mr Veitch); State Forests (Ms Walker); State Budget (Ms Cusack); State Budget and local government (Mr Primrose); Firearms laws (Mr Borsak).

Thursday 22 June 2017

Citizenship laws (Dr Faruqi); Islamist fundamentalist terrorism (Mr Clarke); Combat Sports Authority (Ms Voltz); Tribute to Drew Hutton (Mr Field); Creative Industries Achievement Awards (Mr Franklin); Euthanasia/ assisted suicide (Mr Donnelly); Tribute to Mayor Peter Blackmore, OAM (Mr MacDonald).

Feedback on *House in Review*

We welcome any comments you might have on this publication.

We are particularly keen to know which parts of the *House in Review* you find most useful and whether you have any suggestions for improvement. Please email your comments to

stephen.frappell@parliament.nsw.gov.au.

All responses will be kept strictly confidential.



David Blunt
Clerk of the Parliaments